Original Article Open Access

SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS, AN EXPERIENCE AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL

Lailama Shaha, Shahzada Amir Ahmed Babera, Shabir Ahmed Lehrib, Ibrahim Balochc, Muhammad Iqbal Khand, Riffat Arbabe

- ^aAssistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Bolan Medical College Quetta.
- ^bProfessor, Department of Neurosurgery, Dean/Director Post-graduate Medical Institute Quetta.
- ^cPostgraduate Resident, Department of Surgery, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital (BMCH).
- ^dAssistant Professor, Department of Surgery/Deputy Registrar Post-Graduate Medical Institute Quetta.
- ^eAssistant Professor, Department of Surgery Bolan Medical College Quetta.

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE:

Surgical site infections (SSI) are important cause of morbidity and mortality in admitted patients worldwide. The objective is to determine the incidence of surgical site infection in General Surgical ward of a tertiary care hospital.

METHODOLOGY: Analytical cross-sectional study conducted at Department of General Surgery, Bolan Medical College/ Post-Graduate Medical Institute Quetta (PGMI) for a period of one year from 1st August 2019 to 31st July 2020. Descriptive statistics were used to present socio-economics, factors related to surgery and surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis received. Chi square test was used for checking association between infections and different factors keeping $p \le 0.05$ as significant.

RESULTS: A total of 1500 patients underwent surgery, of which 500(33.33%) patients were operated as elective cases and 1000(66.66%) cases as emergency. Out of total 1500 patients, 600(40%) developed Surgical Site Infection (SSI). Risk associated with higher incidence of SSI were found to be age (30-45 years) and Diabetes mellitus (uncontrolled diabetes in perioperative period). Post-operatively obesity was noted to be having significant effect with p=0.0002, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score having p-value 0.045, hospital stay with p<0.001 and surgical type and previous surgical history with p<0.001, were as duration of surgery was having p<0.001.

CONCLUSION: Prevention of SSI requires a multipronged approach with emphasis on optimizing preoperative issues, adhering to strict protocols during the intraoperative period and addressing and optimizing metabolic and nutritional status in post-operative period.

KEYWORDS: Surgical site infection, Elective cases, Emergency cases, Wound infection.

https://doi.org/10.37723/jumdc.v12i3.536

How to cite this:

Shah L, Baber M SA, Lehri SA, Baloch I, Khan MI, Arbab R.Surgical Site Infections an Experience at Tertiary Care Hospital. Journal of University Medical & Dental College. 2021;12(3):185-192.https://doi.org/10.37723/jumdc.v12i3.536

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION:

Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs) is a subject in which the great concerns of healthcare services are involved. Surgical site infections (SSI) are seen in the surgical procedures and is the most important among the topographies of HAIs[1]. Against the bacterial infections of internal organ, skin plays a key role as a barrier. Whenever a surgical wound happens, it disrupts the barrier^[2]. The main cause of SSI is the bacteria present on the skin all the time and the complexities of surgical procedures^[3]. According to the range from low to high clinical significance, SSI involves different inflammatory responses^[4], with surgery of abdomen being a typical example related to the increase mortality and morbidity^[5]. With the worst scenario, SSI spreads into abdomen and surrounding tissues and important structures that can disrupt the entire hemostasis and this often require drainage^[6]. In general surgery SSI occurs 2% to 5% in hospitals where as in ICU sitting it is very much less discussed as severe SSI leads to severe peritonitis, and as a critical public health care problem it requires particular attention [7].

In United States, approximately 160,000 to 300,000 SSI occurs every year^[8]. With the increase in SSI, patient debilitates and there is dramatical increase in health care cost. In Pakistan, the occurrence of SSI in electiveand emergency surgeries is 4.6% and 12.7% respectively^[9]. Three classes of SSI are Superficial, Deep incisional SSI, and Organ/Space SSI. The treatment of SSI is very costly especially when a lot of surgical procedures and their complexities are considered in the referral hospitals^[10, 11].

Morbidity and mortality rates of secondary and tertiary conditions are high as 17-63%^[12]. Mortality rate of tertiary peritonitis is about 30-63% and it usually occurs in the ICU setting while dealing with secondary peritonitis for 48-72h ^[13]. Where as the chances and risks of SSI related with abdominal surgeries are 2-8% depending upon the surgery ^[14].

Classification of SSI is 2% Clean, 3% Clean-contaminated, 6% Contaminated and 7% Dirty^[2,15]. Before surgery, the stratification for surveillance can identify at-risk patients. There are several risk factors that lead to SSI and makeup a surgical infection risk index.

ExamplelikeAmericanSociety of Anesthesiologists (ASA) scoring, the Class of Wound, and the duration of Surgery in order to minimize the chances of SSI^[4,16]. Despite of all this, operating settings such as elective and emergency have a significant role in determining the SSI ^[6,12].

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Muhammad Iqbal Assistant Professor Department of Surgery Deputy Registrar Post-Graduate Medical Institute Quetta.

Email: miqbaljaffar@gmail.com

METHODOLOGY:

An Analytical cross-sectional study was conducted at the Department of surgery & ICU Bolan medical complex/ Post-Graduate Medical Institute Quetta (PGMI) from 1st August 2019 to 31st July 2020. After taking approval from ethical committee. Data was collected by non-probability convenient sampling.

Inclusion criteria: A total of 1500 patients admitted as either elective or emergency cases were included in the study. All patients with age >5 years were included in the study. We included all consecutive patients from any type of abdominal surgery who required ICU admission beyond 72 h for secondary or tertiary peritonitis after taking informed consent.

Exclusion criteria: Cases of wound infection operated elsewhere, diabetic foot and abscesses were excluded. Patients not willing to participate in study, receiving antimicrobial at time of admission or stopped receiving within 48 hours before operation and patients with initial diagnosis suggestive of infection were excluded. All patients received standard preoperative hygiene care and antibiotic prophylaxis at an anesthetic drug induction consistent with our institutional protocols for elective and emergency surgeries. Clean wound is an infection less wound in which no inflammation is present at wound and none of the genital, alimentary or urinary tracks are opened during or before surgery. Clean wounds are basically closed primarily or drained by closed drainage. If the criteria meet then the incision occurred at operation with blunt trauma must be included in this category.

Cleaning of contaminated wound is basically an operative wound cleaning in which alimentary, respiratory or urinary tracts are involved under the normal and unusual contamination examples are surgeries of appendix, vaginal track, biliary track etc. Records have been accumulated by way of trained nurses (BSc) no longer operating or working at surgical wards. The data was obtained on a pretested record collection tool prepared by study group. The study group supervised the facts series method every day. Socio-demographic and other patient related factors have been obtained without delay from sufferer or affected person's scientific chart. Statistics on time of antimicrobial prophylaxis management and intra-operative doses were gathered with the aid of direct commentary and from sufferer's operation word.

The variables protected have been age in years, gender, admission date, co-morbidity, body mass index, systemic steroid use, malnutrition, immunity, date of surgery, type of surgical treatment, wound magnificence (easy or smooth-infected), time of skin incision, duration of operation and quantity of blood lost at some stage in operation.

ANTIMICROBIAL PROPHYLAXIS DESCRIPTION:

Ceftriaxone 1g was given to patients. In Govt hospitals more sophisticated drugs are only used when complex infections are encountered. Concerning surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis used, route through which antimicrobials were administered, name of antimicrobials, doses, first dose administration time, time of intra-operative dose administration, time of postoperative dose given and duration of administration had been recorded. We followed the sufferers and reviewed the charts daily, throughout and after operation till the sufferers had been discharged from the healthcare facility and after discharge until 30 days.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

SPSS-22 version was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to present socio- economics, factors related to surgery and surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis received. We used frequency, percentage and cross tabulation

to describe patient's characteristics, operational and surgical factors. Chi square test was used for checking association between infections and different factors. Significance level was check at $p \le 0.05$.

RESULTS:

Out of 1500 patients, 856(75.06%) were male and 644(42.93%) were female. Out of total 1500, 799(53.29%) were belonging to urban and 701(46.73%) belong to rural area. Mean age of patients was 31.98±10.55 years. 325 patients were lying in age group of 5-15 years, 549 patients were lying in age group of 16-30 years, 398 patients were lying in age group of 31-45 years and 228 patients were lying in age group of >45 year. The mean Body Mass Index (BMI) was 23.72±5 kg/m2, 550(36.66%) were obese with BMI > 30 Kg/m2 and 950(63.33%)were obese with BMI ≤30 kg/m2. Regarding ASA scoring, 900(60%) patients fell in ASA-II class. The Mean of total preoperative hospital stay of patients was 9.45 ± 0.345 as shown in Table-I. Out of 1500 patients, 300(20%) were of hernia procedure, 115(7.66%) were of urological surgeries, 175(11.66%) were of breast surgery, 360(24%) patients had hand and neck surgeries, 250(16.66%) had vascular procedures, 300(20%) had Gastrointestinal surgical procedures (table-II). After that, surgical related factor are noted. Out of 1500 patients, 1000(66.66%) patients were operated in emergency and 500(33.33%) were in elective surgeries. 850(56.66%) were in clean wound cases and 950(63.33%) had previous surgical history (Table-III). At the end we checked association of surgical infection with different factors. Significant association were found of postoperative side effects with obesity having <0.001, ASA score having p-value <0.001, hospital stay having<0.001 and surgical type and previous surgical history with <0.001, and duration of surgery with <0.001(Table-IV).

Shah L, Baber MSA, et al., SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL

Table-I: Demographic features of patients.

Characteristic	n (%)				
Obesity					
BMI<30KG/M2	950(63.33)				
BMI ≥30KG/M2	550(36.66)				
ASA SCORE					
I	450(30)				
II	900(60)				
III	150(10)				
Preoperative Hospital stays					
≤8 DAYS	900(60)				
>8 DAYS	600(40)				
Total	1500(100%)				

Table-II: Surgical procedures.

Surgical Procedures	n (%)		
Hernia Repair	300 (20)		
Urological surgery	115 (7.66)		
Breast surgery	175 (11.66)		
Hand and neck surgery	360 (24)		
Vascular procedure	250 (16.66)		
Gastrointestinal surgery	300 (20)		
Total	1500(100%)		

Table-III: Surgical related factors

Factors	n (%)			
Wound Class				
Clean	850(56.66)			
Clean contaminated	650(43.33)			
Surgery Type				
Elective surgery	500(33.33)			
Emergency	1000(66.66)			
Previous Surgery				
Yes	950(63.33)			
No	550(36.66)			
Total	1500(100%)			

Shah L, Baber MSA, et al., SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL

Table-IV: Association between Postoperative Infection and other Factors

Yes	Variable	Infections		Total	p-value
Male 430(28.66%) 426(28.40%) 856 (57.06%) Female 170(11.33%) 474(31.60%) 644(42.93%) Residence Urban 609(40.6%) 390(.26%) 799 (53.26) Rural 191(12.7%) 510(.34%) 701(46.73) <0.001 Obesity BMI<30KG/M2 350(23.33%) 600(40%) 950(63.33%) 0.001 AGE in Years 5-15 200(13.33%) 125(8.33%) 325(21.66%) 0.001 16-30 179(11.93%) 370(24.66%) 549(36.60%) <0.001 31-45 173(11.53%) 225(15%) 398(26.53%) <0.001 45 48(3.2%) 180(12%) 228(15.2%) <0.001 II 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) <0.001 Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) <0.001 Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) <0.001 Wound class Clean 450(30%)		Yes	No		
Male 430(28.66%) 426(28.40%) 856 (57.06%) Female 170(11.33%) 474(31.60%) 644(42.93%) Residence Urban 609(40.6%) 390(.26%) 799 (53.26) Rural 191(12.7%) 510(.34%) 701(46.73) Obesity BMI<30KG/M2	Gender	600(40%)	900(60%)	1500(100%)	<0.001
Residence Urban 609(40.6%) 390(.26%) 799 (53.26) <0.001	Male	430(28.66%)	426(28.40%)	856 (57.06%)	
Urban 609(40.6%) 390(.26%) 799 (53.26) <0.001 Rural 191(12.7%) 510(.34%) 701(46.73) <0.001 Obesity BMI<30KG/M2	Female	170(11.33%)	474(31.60%)	644(42.93%)	
Rural 191(12.7%) 510(.34%) 701(46.73) <0.001 Obesity BMI<30KG/M2	Residence				
Notesity BMI<30KG/M2 350(23.33%) 600(40%) 950(63.33%) 0.001 BMI<30KG/M2 250(16.66%) 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 0.001 AGE in Years -15 200(13.33%) 125(8.33%) 325(21.66%)	Urban	609(40.6%)	390(.26%)	799 (53.26)	
BMI<30KG/M2 350(23.33%) 600(40%) 950(63.33%) 0.001 BMI≥30KG/M2 250(16.66%) 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 0.001 AGE in Years 5-15 200(13.33%) 125(8.33%) 325(21.66%) 16-30 179(11.93%) 370(24.66%) 549(36.60%) 31-45 173(11.53%) 225(15%) 398(26.53%) > 45 48(3.2%) 180(12%) 228(15.2%) AS a SCORE I 520(34.66%) 380(25.33%) 900(60%) 11 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) 100(6.66%) 150(10%) Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) < 0.001 Wound class Clean 450(30%) 400(26.66%) 850(56.66%) Clean contaminated 150(10%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) < 0.001 Surgery type Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) Emergency 500(33.33%) 500(33.33%) 1000(66.66) < 0.001 Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) < 0.001 Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) < 0.001 Duration of surgery >1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%) < 0.001	Rural	191(12.7%)	510(.34%)	701(46.73)	<0.001
BMI≥30KG/M2 250(16.66%) 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 0.001 AGE in Years 5-15 200(13.33%) 125(8.33%) 325(21.66%) 16-30 179(11.93%) 370(24.66%) 549(36.60%) 31-45 173(11.53%) 225(15%) 398(26.53%) >45 48(3.2%) 180(12%) 228(15.2%) AS a SCORE I 520(34.66%) 380(25.33%) 900(60%) III 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) III 50(3.33%) 100(6.66%) 150(10%) Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) >15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) >15 DAYS 300(20%) 300(20%) 600(40%) <0.001 Wound class Clean 450(30%) 400(26.66%) 850(56.66%) Clean contaminated 150(10%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) <0.001 Surgery type Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) Emergency 500(33.33%) 500(33.33%) 1000(66.66) <0.001 Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001 Previous surgery Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 85(5.66%) 465(31%) 550(36.66%) Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001 Duration of surgery >1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%)	Obesity				
AGE in Years 5-15	BMI<30KG/M2	350(23.33%)	600(40%)	950(63.33%)	
5-15	BMI≥30KG/M2	250(16.66%)	300(20%)	550(36.66%)	0.001
16-30	AGE in Years				
31-45	5-15	200(13.33%)	125(8.33%)	325(21.66%)	
31-45	16-30	179(11.93%)	370(24.66%)	549(36.60%)	10.001
AS a SCORE I 520(34.66%) 380(25.33%) 900(60%) III 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) III 50(3.33%) 100(6.66%) 150(10%) Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) >15 DAYS 300(20%) 300(20%) 600(40%) Vound class Clean 450(30%) 400(26.66%) 850(56.66%) Clean contaminated 150(10%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) <0.001 Surgery type Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) (0.001 Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001 No 85(5.66%) 465(31%) 550(36.66%) Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) (0.001 Duration of surgery >1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%)	31-45	173(11.53%)	225(15%)	398(26.53%)	<0.001
AS a SCORE I 520(34.66%) 380(25.33%) 900(60%) II 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) III 50(3.33%) 100(6.66%) 150(10%) Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) >15 DAYS 300(20%) 300(20%) 600(40%) <0.001	>45	48(3.2%)	180(12%)	228(15.2%)	
II 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) <0.001 Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) <0.001	AS a SCORE				
II 180(12%) 270(18%) 450(30%) <0.001 Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) <0.001	I	520(34.66%)	380(25.33%)	900(60%)	
III 50(3.33%) 100(6.66%) 150(10%) Postoperative Hospital Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) <0.001	II	180(12%)	270(18%)	450(30%)	<0.001
Stay ≤15 DAYS 300(20%) 600(40%) 900(60%) <0.001 >15 DAYS 300(20%) 300(20%) 600(40%) <0.001	III	` '		, ,	
>15 DAYS 300(20%) 300(20%) 600(40%) <0.001 Wound class Clean 450(30%) 400(26.66%) 850(56.66%) <0.001	-				
Clean	≤15 DAYS	300(20%)	600(40%)	900(60%)	
Clean 450(30%) 400(26.66%) 850(56.66%) Clean contaminated 150(10%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) Surgery type Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) Emergency 500(33.33%) 500(33.33%) 1000(66.66) Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001	>15 DAYS	300(20%)	300(20%)	600(40%)	<0.001
Clean contaminated 150(10%) 500(33.33%) 650(43.33%) <0.001 Surgery type Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) <0.001	Wound class				
Surgery type Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) Emergency 500(33.33%) 500(33.33%) 1000(66.66) Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001	Clean	450(30%)	400(26.66%)	850(56.66%)	
Elective surgery 100(6.66%) 400(26.66%) 500(33.33%) <0.001 Emergency 500(33.33%) 500(33.33%) 1000(66.66) <0.001 Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001	Clean contaminated	150(10%)	500(33.33%)	650(43.33%)	<0.001
Emergency 500(33.33%) 500(33.33%) 1000(66.66) <0.001 Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001				1	
Previous surgery Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001 No 85(5.66%) 465(31%) 550(36.66%) Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001 Duration of surgery >1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%)		` '			<0.001
Yes 515(34.33%) 435(29%) 950(63.33%) <0.001 No 85(5.66%) 465(31%) 550(36.66%) Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001		500(33.33%)	500(33.33%)	1000(66.66)	
No 85(5.66%) 465(31%) 550(36.66%) Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001			405/000/	050(50,000()	
Diabetic Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001		,			<0.001
Yes 350(23.33%) 700(46.66%) 1050(70%) No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001		85(5.66%)	465(31%)	550(36.66%)	
No 250(16.66%) 200(13.33%) 450(30%) <0.001 Duration of surgery >1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%)		350/22 220/21	700(46,66%)	1050(70%)	
Duration of surgery >1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%)			,	` '	< 0.001
>1 hour 300(20%) 550(36.66%) 850(56.66%)		230(10.0070)	200(13.33 70)	430(3070)	
10.001		300(20%)	550(36.66%)	850(56.66%)	
		` '	350(23.33%)		<0.001

DISCUSSION:

A study conducted in 2018 in which they concluded the risk factors of surgical site infection from underweight to obesity. According to them several epidemiological studies show that body weight is related to the risk of infection as well as disease outcome. Whereas underweight in children and adolescents increases the risk of multiple infections, especially in developed countries. Both obesity and underweight have been shown to increase risk of infection in adults in a U-shaped fashion showing that normal BMI is correlated with the lower infection risk in several subjects. The current study also shows the significant result of obesity in increasing the risk of surgical site infections^[11].

A systematic review conducted on estimating prolonged stay due to health care associated infections. They concluded that long stays in hospital increased the risk of health care related infections. Our study also shows significant p value i.e < 0.001 providing evidence of higher risk of infections due to prolonged hospital stay^[12]. This current study shows the significant results that type of surgery and higher BMI are associated with SSI. Sun Y et. al conducted a study in which risk factors of SSI after open reduction and internal fixation of ankle fracture were concluded. The major risk factors for SSI incidence, according to multivariate research, were open injuries, advanced age, higher BMI, chronic heart disease, and history of allergy^[13]. Our study also showed more chances of infection with increase in BMI but contrary to this study more infections were seen in young patients in our study. This might be due to fact that in their study they worked on the patients of orthopedic department and in old age the healing of bones is not only slow but sometimes even there is no recovery.

A study conducted in 2019 concluded that independent risk factors for SSI during abdominal surgery are potentially modifiable, such as open surgical approach, infected wound class, and emergency surgery, should be treated routinely. This current study also considers the type of surgery as a potential risk factor for SSI [14]. Examination and identification of high risk patients is necessary to low the chances of SSI and in the same way taking appropriate measures during surgical procedure will reduce the risk of

SSI. There are different ways which can reduce the chances of SSIs like administration of first dose within one hour of surgery, Shortening the preoperative hospital stay and shortening the duration of operations. Identification of risk factors of SSI are period of preoperative stay at hospitals, time duration of surgery and ASA index [15-17]. These risk factors are identified when doing surgeries to a lot of patients and surgeries done in general [18,19]. Factors such as ASA index and the wound class with duration of surgeries are well identified in specific surgeries like orthopedic surgeries associated with SSIs. However, in neck and head or cardiac surgeries, these factors are not well known for the SSIs. It is important to mention the duration of surgery not only because of its effects on SSIs but also many other risks factors such as ASA index, through which it is suggesting that those patients which has large ASA index should have long duration of surgery [20,21]. In addition to all these, the long duration of surgery not only increase the chance of SSI but also many other complications such as wound dehiscence, development of urinary tract infection and even septic shock. The short duration of surgery can reduce the risk of SSIs. The chances of occurring SSIs in secondary and tertiary peritonitis are very high requiring ICU admission. Physicians should notice the microorganism isolated with patients having SSIs when prescribing antibiotic therapy, are more likely multi drug resistant pathogens such as Gram-positive cocci, Fungi and Pseudomonas [22,23]. Due to inherent limitation of sampling of microorganism with swabs done in our labs, we need more study to confirm our results. We do not find any effect in the hospital mortality in our population despite the presence of SSI associated with prolonged surgery [24, 25]. In this study about 600 cases are reported of infection in which 33.3% were emergency while 6.66% were elective. The chances of occurrence of SSIs are: 4.4% in Taiwan, 7.5% in United States[8], 5.2% in Japan^[9], 6.23% in Peshawar^[10]. Several factors are responsible for SSIs which varies from patient to patients, hospital environment, food, hospital staff, infected surgical instruments, dressing and even medicine and injections [26]. One of the important hosts related risk factor is advance age. Gender is not an important issue regarding SSI.

Duration of surgery and wound class play a key role in influencing SSIs. Those procedures that have time duration of more than 2 hours are at greater risk of having SSIs. Longer hospitals stay especially in the case of post-operative period is related to increase risk of wound infectious rate.

CONCLUSION:

Surgical site infection (SSI) may occur after surgical procedure. Most common cause is not adopting proper sterilization to surgical instruments or contact from surgical team to patient skin. This may occur superficial in the skin, deep in muscle, in organ or cavity. Pus culture and sensitivity test is helpful to identify organism and sensitivity of antibiotics. Most of the patients respond well after conservative treatment but few may require alternate surgical procedure.

LIMITATIONS: The study was conducted in a single center. If same study is conducted in multiple centers than more elaborated and better results can be achieved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: None
CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None
GRANT SUPPORT & FINANCIAL
DISCLOSURE: None

REFERENCES:

- Campos CC, De Castro Franco LM, Rocha AD, Ercole FF. Incidência e fatores de risco para infecção de sítiocirúrgicoemcirurgias gerais1. Rev. Latino-Am. Enfermagem. 2017;25: e2848.
- Ferreira LD, Azevedo LM, Salvador PT, Morais SH, Paiva RD, Santos VE. Nursing care in healthcare-associated infections: a scoping review. Revista Brasileira De Enfermagem. 2019;72(2):476-483. Doi:10.1590/0034-7167-2018-0418
- 3. Ercole FF, Chianca TC, Duarte D, Starling CE, Carneiro M. Surgical site infection in patients submitted to orthopedic surgery: the NNIS risk index and risk prediction. Revistalatino-americana De Enfermagem. 2011;19(2):269-276.Doi:10.1590/S0104-11692011000200007

- Dos Reis RG, Rodrigues MC. Post-discharge surgical site infection: occurrence and characterization of general surgery outpatients. CogitareEnferm. 2017;22(4): e51678. Doi: 10.5380/ce.v22i4.51678 ';
- Engemann JJ, Carmeli Y, Cosgrove SE, Fowler VG, Bronstein MZ, Trivette SL, et al. Adverse clinical and economic outcomes attributable to methicillin resistance among patients with Staphylococcus aureus surgical site infection. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2003;36(5):592-608. Doi:10.1086/367653. Epub 2003 Feb 7.
- Isik O, Kaya E, Dundar HZ, Sarkut P. Surgical site infection: re-assessment of the risk factors. Chirurgia--Romanian Journal of Surgery at the top of the best Medical Publications. 2015;110(5):457-461. Available from: http://www.revistachirurgia.ro/ pdfs/2015-5-457. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280805136
- Ata A, Lee J, Bestle SL, Desemone J, Stain SC. Postoperative hyperglycemia and surgical site infection in general surgery patients. Archives of Surgery. 2010;145(9):858-864. Doi:10.1001/archsurg.2010.179.
- Curcio D, Cane A, Fernández F, Correa J. Surgical site infection in elective clean and clean-contaminated surgeries in developing countries. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2019; 80:34-45.Doi: 10.1016/j. ijid.2018.12.013.
- Alkaaki A, Al-Radi OO, Khoja A, Alnawawi A, Alnawawi A, Maghrabi A, et al. Surgical site infection following abdominal surgery: a prospective cohort study. Canadian Journal of Surgery. 2019;62(2):111-117. Doi: 10.1503/cjs.004818.
- Levin EC, Chow C, Makhzoumi Z, Jin C, Shiboski SC, Arron ST. Association of postoperative antibiotics with surgical site infection in Mohs micrographic surgery. Dermatologic Surgery. 2019;45(1):52-57. Doi: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000001645
- 11. Dobner J, Kaser S. Body mass index and the risk of infection-from underweight to obesity. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2018;24(1):24-28.Doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2017.02.013
- 12. Manoukian S, Stewart S, Dancer S, Graves N, Mason H, McFarland A, et al. Estimating excess

- length of stay due to healthcare-associated infections: a systematic review and metaanalysis of statistical methodology. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2018;100(2):222-235.
- 13. Sun Y, Wang H, Tang Y, Zhao H, Qin S, Xu L, et al. Incidence and risk factors for surgical site infection after open reduction and internal fixation of ankle fracture: A Retrospective Multicenter Study. Medicine. 2018;97(7): e9901.Doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000009901
- 14. Alkaaki A, Al-Radi OO, Khoja A, Alnawawi A, Alnawawi A, Maghrabi A, et al. Surgical site infection following abdominal surgery: a prospective cohort study. Canadian Journal of Surgery. 2019;62(2):111-117.Doi: 10.1503/cis.004818
- 15. Yokoe DS, Anderson DJ, Berenholz SM. Introduction to "A compendium of Strategies to prevent healthcare associated infections in acute care hospitals- 20th updates" Infection Control Wosp Epidemiol. 2014;35(5):455-459. Doi: 10.1086/675819
- 16. Malik ZI, Nawaz T, Abdullah MT, Waqar SH, Zahid MA. Surgical Site infection in General Surgical wards at a Tertiary Care Hospital. Pakistan Journal of Medical Research. 2013; 52: 116-119.
- 17. Ashraf I, Mohib Y, Hasan O, Malik A, Ahmad K, Noordin S. Surgical site infection surveillance following total knee arthroplasty: Tertiary care hospital experience. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2018; 31:14-16. Doi: 10.1016/j. amsu.2018.04.006
- 18. Park J, Yoo SY, Ko JH, Lee SM, Chung YJ, Lee JH, et al. Infection prevention measures for surgical procedures during a Middle East respiratory syndrome outbreak in a tertiary care hospital in South Korea. Scientific Reports. 2020;10(1):1-8. Doi:10.1038/s41598-019-57216-x
- 19. Bhandari TR, Shahi S, Bhandari R, Poudel R. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly: an experience at a tertiary care hospital in Western Nepal. Surgery Research and Practice. 2017. Doi10.1155/2017/8204578
- 20. Chaung SC, Lee KT, Chang WT, Wang SN, Kuo KK, Chen JS, et al: Risk factors for wound infection after cholecystectomy. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association. 2004; 103 (8): 607-612. Doi: 10.1007/s00268-017-4029-0

- 21. Cheng H, Chen BP, Soleas IM, Ferko NC, Cameron CG, Hinoul P. Prolonged operative duration increases risk of surgical site infections: a systematic review. Surgical Infections. 2017;18(6):722-735. Doi: 10.1089/sur.2017.089
- 22. Ban KA, Minei JP, Laronga C, Harbrecht BG, Jensen EH, Fry DE, et al. American College of Surgeons and Surgical Infection Society: surgical site infection guidelines, 2016 update. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2017;224(1):59-74. Doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2016.10.029 PMID: 27915053
- 23. William GC: Risk factors for Surgical Site infections.2006; 7 Suppl 1: S7-11. DOI: 10.1089/sur.2006.7.s1-7
- 24. Konishi T, Harihara Y, Morikane K: Surgical Site Infection Surveillance. Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi. 2006; 107(5): 230-234.
- 25. Nisa M, Naz T, Afzal I, Hassan L, Scope of Surgical Site Infection (SSI) in Obstetrics and Gynecology. Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute. 2005; 19(4): 438-441.
- 26. Allegranzi B, Bischoff P, De Jonge S, Zeynep N, Zayed B, Gomes S. Surgical Site Infections New WHO Recommendations on Preoperative Measures for Surgical Site Infection Prevention: An Evidence-Based Global Perspective. Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2016;16(12): e276-287. Doi: 10.1016/ S1473-3099(16)30398-X

Author's Contribution:

Lailama Shah: Data collection, literature research and manuscript writing.

Shahzada Amir Ahmed Baber: Help in data collection and statistical analysis.

Shabir Ahmed Lehri: Overall supervision.

Ibrahim Baloch: Collected data.

Muhammad Iqbal Khan: Statistical Analysis. **Rifat Arbab:** Manuscript writing and revising it critically for important intellectual content.

Submitted for Publication: 19-03-2021 Accepted After revision: 23-06-2021