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PERINATAL OUTCOME OF IUGR FETUSES HAVING NORMAL AND ABNORMAL UMBILICAL ARTERY Doppler STUDY
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ABSTRACT:

OBJECTIVE: To compare the perinatal outcome of IUGR fetuses having normal and abnormal umbilical artery Doppler study.

Study Design: Descriptive Case series study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted from March 2015 to February 2016 in departments of radiology and obstetrics & gynecology Aziz Fatimah Hospital Faisalabad.

Methodology: In this study a total of 172 pregnant women with diagnosed IUGR between 28-40 weeks were included. These patients were divided into two groups, first group was consisted of 94 pregnant women with IUGR and having normal Doppler ultrasound and second group consisted of 78 women with diagnosed IUGR and having abnormal Doppler ultrasound findings. The IUGR was diagnosed by ultrasonography having estimated weight <10th percentile for gestational age. All the patients in study were followed till delivery and maternal and fetal outcomes were noted in both groups.

RESULT:
The mean age of group I was 26±5.25 years, and group II was 25±4.18 years. Normal vaginal deliveries were significantly higher (56.38% vs 24.36%, p-value < 0.001) in normal UA Doppler group and C-section rate was significantly (75.64% vs 43.62%) greater in abnormal UA Doppler group. The rate of good APGAR score (> 7) was significantly higher at one minute (75% vs 44.87%, p-value < 0.001) and 5 minute (91.5% vs 71.79%, p-value < 0.001) in women having normal UA Doppler study findings. There were significantly more (58.97 vs 17.02%, p-value < 0.001) preterm deliveries with abnormal doppler study. Birth weight of the babies in abnormal UA Doppler findings was significantly (p-value < 0.001) less with mean weight of 945±168 grams as compared to mean birth weight of 1585±223 grams of babies of normal UA Doppler study. NICU admissions (71.79% vs 20.21%, p-value < 0.001) were significantly greater in women having abnormal UA Doppler findings.

Conclusion: There is a strong relationship between perinatal outcome and umbilical artery Doppler velocimetry. With abnormal UA Doppler there are significantly greater chances of adverse perinatal outcome.
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INTRODUCTION:

A standard utero placental circulation is necessary for the development of a normal pregnancy. Fetal birth weight less than 10th percentile for a given gestational age is called intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR). The most important thing is to identify the fetuses
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with hazard of compromise instead of identification of small fetus during pregnancy. Intrauterine growth retardation affects about 10-15% of the maternal population and is a common condition affecting pregnant mothers. This condition has many risks for the babies including increased chances of fetal death, neonatal lung diseases, respiratory distress syndrome, necrotizing enterocolitis, renal diseases and chronic cardiovascular disorders. IUGR fetus has a strong connection with meconium aspiration syndrome. An IUGR fetus has increased chances of perinatal morbidity and mortality due to environmental damage or genetic disease or utero placental insufficiency and reduced placental perfusion.

IUGR is a disorder in which fetus fails to get its normal potential of growth so the babies show a pattern of small for gestational age. Any deviation from normal growth indicates any associated impairment in the fetus. This impairment can be due to hypertension during pregnancy which can lead to defects in circulation producing prematurity or fetal mortality. A well-known and noninvasive method for the measurement of variations in blood flow is Doppler ultrasound velocimetry. Doppler is also used for uteroplacental and fetoplacental circulation for the assessment of fetal wellbeing.

Normal umbilical artery Doppler studies have shown a better fetal outcome in comparison to those having abnormal UA Doppler indices. Umbilical artery Doppler is performed in all IUGR fetuses, so that to identify fetus at risk. On the basis of previous randomized trials it can be concluded that management based on UA Doppler significantly improve maternal outcomes like antenatal admission, elective delivery and induction of labour. A non-invasive technique with no any ionizing radiations together with easy availability is Umbilical artery Doppler. This can be used in cases with IUGR for prediction of perinatal outcome by helping in suitable management in time.

In a clinical study comparing fetal outcome of IUGR in women having normal and abnormal uterine artery Doppler study, a significant difference in perinatal outcome was recorded. In women having normal UA Doppler findings (16%) deliveries were premature and 46% in the abnormal UA Doppler were premature. The fraction of babies having low birth weight was less in normal UA Doppler group. The rate of NICU admission was significantly more in women having abnormal UA Doppler findings. Intra ventricular haemorrhage (IVH) was more common in women having abnormal umbilical artery Doppler findings (11% vs. 4%) in contrast with normal UA Doppler group. Similarly fetal mortality rate was significantly higher in the abnormal umbilical artery Doppler group as compared to normal group.

The main objective of this present study was to evaluate the efficacy of Doppler study of umbilical artery flow in fetuses diagnosed with IUGR in terms of normal and abnormal Doppler findings. Which have higher risk of perinatal complications, so that proper management may be represented for a good prognosis of these fetuses.

**METHODOLOGY:**

This study was started after taking approval from hospital ethical committee. This study was conducted in radiology and obstetrics & gynecology department Aziz Fatimah hospital Faisalabad in one year time from March 2015 to February 2016. In this case series, study a total of 172 pregnant women with diagnosed IUGR was included. These patients were divided in two groups, first group was consisted on 94 pregnant women with IUGR and having normal Doppler ultrasound and second group consisted of 78 women with diagnosed IUGR and having abnormal Doppler ultrasound findings. Patients were selected by non-probability consecutive sampling technique. Pregnant women of 20 to 40 years old, with any parity and having diagnosed IUGR and gestational age > 28 weeks were briefed about the study and informed written consent was taken before including in the study. The exclusion criteria was women with multiple gestational pregnancies and congenital abnormalities. Estimated fetal weight <10th percentile for gestational age was taken as IUGR, diagnosed by ultrasonography.

In a clinical study comparing fetal outcome of IUGR in women having normal and abnormal uterine artery Doppler study, a significant difference in perinatal outcome was recorded. In women having normal UA Doppler findings (16%) deliveries were premature and 46% in the abnormal UA Doppler were premature. The fraction of babies having low birth weight was less in normal UA Doppler group. The rate of NICU admission was significantly more in women having abnormal UA Doppler findings. Intra ventricular haemorrhage (IVH) was more common in women having abnormal umbilical artery Doppler findings (11% vs. 4%) in contrast with normal UA Doppler group. Similarly fetal mortality rate was significantly higher in the abnormal umbilical artery Doppler group as compared to normal group.

The main objective of this present study was to evaluate the efficacy of Doppler study of umbilical artery flow in fetuses diagnosed with IUGR in terms of normal and abnormal Doppler findings. Which have higher risk of perinatal complications, so that proper management may be represented for a good prognosis of these fetuses.

**METHODOLOGY:**

This study was started after taking approval from hospital ethical committee. This study was conducted in radiology and obstetrics & gynecology department Aziz Fatimah hospital Faisalabad in one year time from March 2015 to February 2016. In this case series, study a total of 172 pregnant women with diagnosed IUGR was included. These patients were divided in two groups, first group was consisted on 94 pregnant women with IUGR and having normal Doppler ultrasound and second group consisted of 78 women with diagnosed IUGR and having abnormal Doppler ultrasound findings. Patients were selected by non-probability consecutive sampling technique. Pregnant women of 20 to 40 years old, with any parity and having diagnosed IUGR and gestational age > 28 weeks were briefed about the study and informed written consent was taken before including in the study. The exclusion criteria was women with multiple gestational pregnancies and congenital abnormalities. Estimated fetal weight <10th percentile for gestational age was taken as IUGR, diagnosed by ultrasonography.

The starting criteria of pregnancy was taken through last menstrual cycle and ultrasound scan from first trimester. IUGR was diagnosed clinically and its confirmation was made through ultrasonography. The fetal biometry
including biparietal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference and femur length were noted for every patient. Had Lock formula was used to estimate fetal weight. All patients included in the study had UAD study and were divided into two groups. In group 1, 94 women having IUGR and normal UAD flow were included. Group 2 consisted of 78 women having IUGR and abnormal UAD study. The pattern of spectral waveform was noted. The information regarding diastolic flow as normal, low, absent or reversed was noted (figure 1). Along with analyzing the spectral waveforms and other growth parameters, some additional measurements of Doppler like PI, RI, S/D ratio were also observed.

All the patients in study were followed till delivery and maternal and fetal outcomes were noted in both groups. The maternal outcome was measured in terms of preterm delivery (birth of a baby at less than 37 weeks of gestation), mode of delivery and induction of labour. The perinatal outcome was assessed in terms of birth weight, APGAR score, NICU admission, respiratory distress syndrome, perinatal and neonatal death. All the collected data was entered and analyzed through SPSS v16. Mean along with standard deviation was calculated for numerical variables. Frequency and percentages were presented for qualitative variables. Independent sample t-test was used to compare means of numerical data and chi-square test for categorical data. The level of significance was set at p-value < 0.05.

**RESULTS:**

In this study a total of 172 pregnant women with IUGR were included in which women with normal UA Doppler were included in group I and women with IUGR and having abnormal UA Doppler finding were included in group II. The mean age of group I was 26±5.25 years, which was not significantly different from group II, in which mean age was 25±4.18 years.

According to the results of the study it was noted that there was significant difference in mode of delivery between both groups. Normal vaginal deliveries were significantly higher (56.38% vs 24.36%, p-value < 0.001) in normal UA Doppler group in contrast to abnormal UA Doppler group. Similarly the C-section rate was significantly greater (75.64% vs 43.62%) in abnormal UA Doppler group as compared to normal UA Doppler group as shown in Table 1.

The APGAR score at one minute was noted significantly better in normal Doppler findings group in contrast to abnormal Doppler study group. It was noted that the rate of good APGAR score (> 7) was significantly higher at one minute (75% vs 44.87%, p-value < 0.001) and 5 minute (91.5% vs 71.79%, p-value < 0.001) in women having normal UA Doppler study findings as compared to those having abnormal UA Doppler findings as elaborated in Table 2.

There were significantly more (58.97% vs 17.02%, p-value < 0.001) preterm deliveries among women having abnormal UA Doppler study as compared to normal UA Doppler findings. The birth weight of the babies in abnormal UA Doppler findings was significantly (p-value < 0.001) less with mean
weight of 945±168 grams as compared to mean birth weight of 1585±223 grams of babies delivered by women having normal Doppler findings. The babies form the mothers having abnormal Doppler findings had significantly more NICU admissions (71.79% vs 20.21%, p-value < 0.001) as compared to women having normal UA Doppler findings. Intraventricular hemorrhage (14.10% vs 4.26%, p-value < 0.05) was significantly greater in abnormal Doppler study group as compared to normal Doppler findings. Perinatal deaths are twice in abnormal UAD as compared to normal Doppler study as shown in table 3.

Table 1: Comparison of mode of delivery between normal and abnormal Doppler study finding groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>Normal UA Doppler (n=94)</th>
<th>Abnormal UA Doppler (n=78)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56.38%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-section</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43.62%</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant at 0.01 level of significance

Table 2: Comparison of mode of delivery between normal and abnormal Doppler study finding groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APGAR score</th>
<th>Normal UA Doppler (n=94)</th>
<th>Abnormal UA Doppler (n=78)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
<td>&gt; 7</td>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At One Minute</td>
<td>24 (25%)</td>
<td>70 (75%)</td>
<td>43 (55.12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At five Minute</td>
<td>8 (8.5%)</td>
<td>86 (91.5%)</td>
<td>22 (28.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant at 0.01 level of significance

Table 3: Comparison of fetal outcome between normal and abnormal Doppler study finding groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal UA Doppler (n=94)</th>
<th>Abnormal UA Doppler (n=78)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature delivery</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17.02%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth Weight</td>
<td>1585±223 Gram</td>
<td></td>
<td>945±168 Gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU Admission</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.21%</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH ¥</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.26%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal death</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.32%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 level of significance
**Significant at 0.01 level of significance
£Insignificant at 0.05 level of significance
¥ Intraventricular hemorrhage
DISCUSSION:
Possible perinatal outcome can be predicted on the basis of umbilical artery Doppler study which is a non-invasive and easily available technique in patients diagnosed with IUGR. The Doppler study finding are very useful for obstetrical decisions, especially in pregnancies diagnosed with IUGR. Still some disagreement is available to decide the best fetal vessel which should be used for Doppler study for continuation or discontinuation of pregnancy. There are several recommendation from authorities for the use of umbilical artery Doppler but it also require supplemented information for other vessels like middle cerebral artery and ductus venous for a better decision making. The Doppler study should be performed preferably by or under supervision of skilled ultrasonographer. Deviation of Doppler waveforms of venous system is a strong forecaster for fetal anomalies especially prior to 32 weeks of gestation. Moreover changes in MCA may reflect advanced stages of fetal hypoxia, where many fetuses are not salvageable. For all these reason umbilical artery Doppler is preferred in clinical setting. The presence of a very low artery vascular resistance indicates a compromised umbilical artery diastolic flow (figure.2). Cerebral hemorrhage may be caused by abrupt cerebral hyperperfusion. Umbilical artery Doppler can help in detection of fetal hypoxia along with changes in fetal circulation. Umbilical and uterine artery Doppler study helps in comprehension of hemodynamic variations in utero-placental and fetoplacental circulations which can be affected by hypertension in pregnancy. Uterine artery waveforms are considered abnormal when S/D ratio > 2.7 and with persistence of diastolic notch after 26 weeks of gestation are predictors of development of IUGR later. Any variation in uterine and umbilical artery circulation has a strong relationship with pregnancy outcome. In these pregnancies Doppler is a principal technique for fetomaternal observation. The pattern of umbilical artery is a strong predictor of fetal outcome in contrast to uterine artery circulation

In this present study the mean age of group I was 26±5.25 years, which was not significantly different from group II, in which mean age was 25±4.18 years. Which are in agreement to other studies. According to the results of the study it was noted that there was significant difference in mode of delivery between both groups. Normal vaginal deliveries were significantly higher (56.38% vs 24.36%) in normal UA Doppler group in contrast to abnormal UA Doppler group. Similarly the C-section rate was significantly (59% vs 41%) greater in abnormal UA Doppler group as compare to normal UA Doppler group. Which is similar to previous study by Ghandhi K, et al, who found that 69.6% of IUGR fetuses having abnormal Doppler flow were delivered by caesarean section, remaining 30.4% delivered vaginally. And another study by Lakhakar et al, showing 62% of caesarean rate in abnormal Doppler flow and 38% vaginal birth in their study.

Umbilical artery Doppler examination is an important parameter for clinical decision making. The adverse perinatal outcome can be predicted on the basis of S/D ratio, which is thought to have a sensitivity index of 66.6 for prediction of fetal outcome. So it can be recommended that fetuses diagnosed with IUGR should be followed through UA Doppler for better progosis and management of growth retarded fetuses. Any abnormal Doppler waveforms in presence of IUGR along with absent end diastolic flow are strong indicators of adverse fetal and perinatal outcomes before 32 weeks of gestation. In the present study it was noted that the APGAR score at one minute was significantly better in normal Doppler findings group in contrast to abnormal Doppler study group. It was noted that the rate of good APGAR score (> 7) was significantly higher at one minute (75% vs 44.87%) and 5 minute (91.5% vs 71.79%) in women having normal UA Doppler study findings as compared to those having abnormal UA Doppler findings. These are supported by other studies as well. In this present study it was noted that there were significantly more 58.97% vs 17.02%) preterm deliveries among women having
abnormal UA Doppler study as compared to normal UA Doppler findings. Similarly the results of this present study showed that birth weight of the babies in abnormal UA Doppler findings was significantly less with mean weight of 945±168 grams as compared to mean birth weight of 1585±223 grams of babies delivered by women having normal Doppler findings. The babies from the mothers having abnormal Doppler findings had significantly more NICU admissions (71.97% vs 20.21%) as compared to women having normal UA Doppler findings. All these results are supported by many other studies like study by Ghosh GS, in which it was observed that abnormal UA Doppler group had poorer perinatal outcomes including preterm delivery (<37 weeks of gestation), caesarean section, and admission of the neonatal baby to NICU. Similarly Rana MJ found that 82% cases with abnormal UA Doppler delivered prematurely by caesarean section.

After diagnosis of IUGR it is necessary to monitor the fetus with care for better prognosis. For obstetricians umbilical artery Doppler is a useful tool for better management of these cases to get maximum possible development in uterus. With diagnosis of IUGR fetus the mother may be given a closed attention clinically and a proper follow up with series of umbilical artery Doppler for monitoring of fetus growth condition and a good prognosis of the pregnancy.

CONCLUSION:

The findings of this study demonstrate that there is a robust affiliation of perinatal outcome with umbilical artery Doppler velocimetry. With abnormal UA Doppler there are significantly greater chances of adverse perinatal outcome. So UA Doppler is a convenient tool without any risk for mother and fetus, because it involves no ionization or radiation. This is a technique which should be used in all cases of IUGR for prediction of fetal outcome and identification of neonates at risk.
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